BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

		(. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
•	i's or agent's file reference 40/ЕЈН/ат	FOR FURTHER ACTION	as well	see Form PCT/ISA/220 I as, where applicable, item 5 below				
Internation	onal application No.	International filing date (day/month	/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)				
PCT/A	U2004/000524	23 April 2004		23 April 2003				
Applican	it							
F	HEXIMA LTD et al							
	mational search report has been prep 8. A copy is being transmitted to the		Authority and	l is transmitted to the applicant according to				
This inte	mational search report consists of a t	otal of 6 sheets.						
	It is also accompanied by a cop	y of each prior art document cited in t	his report.					
1. E	Basis of the report	·						
	Vith regard to the language, the inter t was filed, unless otherwise indicate		e basis of the	international application in the language in which				
	The international search Authority (Rule 23.1(t		anslation of th	he international application furnished to this				
b. [With regard to any nucleotide a	nd/or amino acid sequence disclose	d in the inter	national application, see Box No. 1.				
2 2	Certain claims were found un	searchable (See Box No. 11).						
3.	Unity of invention is lacking (See Box No. III).						
4. V	Vith regard to the title,							
	the text is approved as submitte	d by the applicant.		•				
	the text has been established by	this Authority to read as follows:						
1	nsect Chymotrypsin and Inl	ibitors thereof						
5. \	Nith regard to the abstract,							
[2	the text is approved as submitte	d by the applicant.						
				pears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within				
_	one month from the date of mai	ling of this international search report	i, submil com	ments to this Authority.				
6. \	With regard to the drawings,							
a. t	he figure of the drawings to be publ	ished with the abstract is Figure No.						
	as suggested by the applicant.							
	as selected by this Au	thority, because the applicant failed to	suggest a fig	gure.				
	as selected by this Au	thority, because this figure better char	acterizes the	invention.				
b. [none of the figures is to be pub	lished with the abstract.						

•	INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT	International application No
		PCT/AU2004/000524
Box No. 11	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of	fitem 2 of first sheet)
This internates	monal search report has not been established in respect of certain claums under Articl	e 17(2)(a) for the following
1.	Claims Nos.:	
	because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, na	amely:
2. X	Claims Nos.: 29-43, 47, 48 (partially)	
	because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:	ne prescribed requirements to such
	See Supplemental Box	
3.	Claims Nos.:	
	because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second	and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a)
Box No. III	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of fi	rst sheet)
This Interna	ational Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application	, as follows:
See Su	pplemental Box	
ı. X	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this internati searchable claims.	onal search report covers all
2.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee payment of any additional fee.	, this Authority did not invite
3.	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applican covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:	t, this international search report
4.	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims: it is covered by claims Nos:	

The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.

No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

Remark on Protest

CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

Int. Cl. 5 C12N 9/76, 15/57, 15/12; A01H 5/00; A01N 63/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

В. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

SEE BELOW

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched SEE BELOW

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) DGENE. SWISS PROT, EMBL. GENBANK, PIR: SEQ ID NO: 2, 3 and 5 (BLASTN, BLASTX)

WPIDS, MEDLINE, CA BIOSIS: chymotrypsin: sepharose; benzamidine: affinity chromatography; inhibitor

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
A	Heath. R. L. et al., 1997, Proteinase inhibitors from <i>Nicotiana alata</i> enhance plant resistance to insect pests, <i>Journal of Insect Physiology</i> , 43:833-842	1-48		
Α	Bown. D. P. et al. 1997. Differentially regulated inhibitor-sensitive and insensitive protease genes from the phytophagous insect pest, <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> , are members of complex multigene families, <i>Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology</i> , 27:625-638.	1-48		

•	Special categories of cited documents:		
-A-	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- E"	earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
-L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
" O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition	-&-	document member of the same patent family

document published prior to the international filing date

Date of the actual completion of the international search 17 June 2004	Date of mailing of the international search report 0 8 JUL 2004
Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU	Authorized officer
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929	TERRY MOORE Telephone No: (02) 6283 2632

but later than the priority date claimed

Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
Gatehouse, L. N. et al., 1997, Characterisation of major midgut proteinase cDNAs from Helicoverpa armigera larvae and changes in gene expression in response to four proteinase inhibitors in the diet. <i>Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology</i> , 27:929-944.	1-48
Mazumdar-Leighton. S. and Broadway, R., 2001, Identification of six chymotrypsin cDNAs from larval midguts of Helicoverpa zea and Agrotis ipsilon feeding on the soybean (Kunitz) trypsin inhibitor, Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 31:633-644.	1-48
DE 3135541 A (Bayer AG) 24 March 1983	46
Abstract	
Hjelmeland. K., and Raa. J., 1982. Characteristics of two trypsin type isozymes isolated from the arctic fish capelin (Mallotus villosus), Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology B, 71:557-62.	46
Abstract	
Sakal, E.et al., 1989, Purification and characterization of trypsins from the digestive tract of Locusta migratoria. <i>International Journal of Peptide and Protein Research</i> , 34:498-505	46
Abstract	
·	
	Helicoverpa armigera larvae and changes in gene expression in response to four proteinase inhibitors in the diet. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 27:929-944. Mazumdar-Leighton. S. and Broadway. R., 2001, Identification of six chymotrypsin cDNAs from larval midguts of Helicoverpa zea and Agrotis ipsilon feeding on the soybean (Kunitz) trypsin inhibitor, Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 31:633-644. DE 3135541 A (Bayer AG) 24 March 1983 Abstract Hjelmeland. K., and Raa. J., 1982, Characteristics of two trypsin type isozymes isolated from the arctic fish capelin (Mallotus villosus), Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology B, 71:557-62. Abstract Sakal, E.et al., 1989, Purification and characterization of trypsins from the digestive tract of Locusta migratoria. International Journal of Peptide and Protein Research, 34:498-505

_ ____

Supplemental Box

Continuation of Boxes No II and III

Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Box II)

The scope of claims 29-43, 48 is so inadequately supported by the specification that a meaningful search covering the full scope of the claims could not be carried out. In particular, the claims do not define the matter for which protection is sought in terms of the technical features of the invention.

Claims 29-43 relate to an antagonist of a chymotrypsin HpCh5 from *Helicoverpa* spp, compositions comprising said antagonist and genetically modified plants that produce an antagonist of chymotrypsin HpCh5. With respect to antagonists of the chymotrypsin isolated from *Helicoverpa* it is considered that the invention extends to (a) substances that are derivatives of the gene identified in the present application, for example antisense, and

(b) substances that are isolated in methods that necessarily utilise the protein and/or gene of the present invention.

No meaningful search can be performed on the full scope of the claims. As such, claims 29-43 have been searched in as far as they relate to derivatives of HpCh5 (eg antisense, antibodies) that antagonise its activity or expression.

Claim 47 has been searched with respect to the use of HpCh5 to screen for potential antagonists of its activity.

Claim 48 is directed to an inhibitor of chymotrypsin identified by a method of screening comprising contacting a NaPlinsensitive chymotrypsin with a potential antagonist and screening for chymotrypsin activity. This is not a claim to a derivative of HpCh5 or a compound produced using HpCh5, it is a claim that encompasses an independent compound that inherently antagnoises HpCHh5 and whose engineering or isolation owes nothing to the teachings of the patent application. Thus the claim may encompass known substances inherently possessing the stated properties. No meaningful search can be performed on the full scope of the claim. The claim has been searched in as far as it relates to a derivative of HpCh5 (eg antisense) that antagonises its activity or expression.

Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Box III)

The International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. Claims 1-45, 47, 48 directed to a chymotrypsin polypeptide from *Helicoverpa* sp. wherein said polypeptide exhibits resistance to a proteinase inhibitor from *Nicotiana alata*. The claims also relate to the nucleic acid molecule encoding said polypeptide, expression vectors and genetically modified cells comprising said nucleic acid molecules, and methods that use the chymotrypsin polypeptide from Helicoverpa sp.

It is considered that the chymotrypsin polypeptide and the nucleic acid molecule encoding it represents a first "special technical feature".

2. Claim 46 relating to a method for the isolation of individual isoforms of chymotrypsin based on sequential steps of affinity chromatography using benzamidine sephanose, the proteinase inhibitor C1 and Pot I, Pot II or chymostatin.. It is considered that this method represents a second "special technical feature".

Since the abovementioned groups of claims do not share any of the technical features identified, a "technical relationship" between the inventions, as defined in PCT rule 13.2 does not exist. Accordingly the international application does not relate to one invention or to a single inventive concept.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/AU2004/000524

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document Cited in Search Report			Patent Family Member				
DE	3135541	DK	399982	FR	2512445	JP	58055430
Due to	data integration issue	es this fan	nily listing may n	ot include 10	digit Australian a	pplications f	ited since May 200
	•						END OF ANNE